

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/015,794	SOBEL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tai T. Nguyen	2632	

All Participants:

(1) Tai T. Nguyen.

(2) Mr. Nakona (Reg. No. 46,498).

Status of Application: Allowance

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 May 2005

Time: 3:30

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

18 and 19

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Claim 18 is incomplete and claim 19 is missing from the amendment filed on 12/16/04, applicant will submit a correction on those two claims.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)